

United States Patent and Trademark Office

od

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/735,426	12/12/2000	Paul O. Davison	C-8-2	5287	
21394	7590 11/24/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ARTHROCARE CORPORATION			COHEN, LEE S		
•	ROS AVENUE LE, CA 94085-3523		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3739		
			DATÉ MAILED: 11/24/200	3 1/0	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/735,426	DAVISON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lee S. Cohen	3739
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-102</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-26,34,35,37-40,4</u> 5) Claim(s) <u>27,28,30-33 and 36</u> is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1,4,6,29,41,55,56 and 61-66</u> is/are 7) Claim(s) <u>2,3,5,7,42-48,51-54,57 and 67-69</u> is 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	19,50,58-60 and 70-102 is/are with rejected. s/are objected to.	drawn from consideration.
Application Papers	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a constant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume 3. Acplication from the International Buree * See the attached detailed Office action for a li 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes since a specific reference was included in the safe of 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language prioright. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of	ents have been received. Ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). St'of the certified copies not receivestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1190 first sentence of the specification of provisional application has been restic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. (e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. 0 and/or 121 since a specific
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal I	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/735,426

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 8-26, 34, 35, 37-40, 49, 50, 58-60, and 70-102 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions and species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No 8 and 9. Claims 58 and 59 have been withdrawn since they read upon Figure 37.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim sets forth an improper Markush group because of the term "or" and a trademark is used in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 41, 55, 56, and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Bales et al (Re. 33,925). Applicant's attention is directed to the figure 3 embodiment. Support 88 has a belly portion with a width equal to the shaft width.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/735,426 Page 3

Art Unit: 3739

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goble et al (6,056,746). Applicant's attention is directed to Figures 2 and 8-10 as well as column 6, lines 62-64. The particular percentages are within the level of skill of the artisan to select to optimize performance of the device absent any disclosed criticality.

Claims 62-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bales et al (Re. 33,925) in view of Goble et al (6,056,746). Bales et al fail to disclose the particular materials for the electrode. Goble et al discloses such materials to have been well known in the art at column 6, lines 62-64. Accordingly, the use of the same in Bales et al would have been no more than an obvious design expedient. The particular percentages are within the level of skill of the artisan to select to optimize performance of the device absent any disclosed criticality.

Claims 65 and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bales et al (Re. 33,925). The particular length of the shaft would have been an obvious design expedient to the skilled artisan since it is merely dependent upon areas of the body to be reached.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims, 27, 28, 30-33, and 36 are allowed.

Claims 2, 3, 5, 7, 42-48, 51-54, 57, and 67-69 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/735,426

Art Unit: 3739

Claim 29 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under

Page 4

35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the

claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the

following is required: the range limitation of claim 5, the limitation of claim 7, and the range

limitation of claim 52.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lee S. Cohen whose telephone number is 703-308-2998. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on 703-308-0994. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9302.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Lee S. Cohen

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3739

LSC

November 19, 2003